

I. COVERAGE

The coverage provisions of the State unemployment insurance laws determine the employers who are liable for contributions and the workers who accrue rights under the laws. Coverage is defined in terms of (a) the size of the employing firm, (b) the contractual relationship of the worker to the employer, and (c) the place where the worker is employed. Coverage under the laws is limited by exclusion of certain types of employment. In most States, however, coverage can be extended to excluded workers under provisions which permit voluntary election of coverage by employers.

The coverage provisions of the State laws have been influenced by the taxing provisions of the Social Security Act, now the Federal Unemployment Tax Act, since employers who pay contributions under an approved State unemployment insurance act may credit their State contributions against the Federal tax up to 90 percent of the Federal tax. Prior to the 1954 amendments enacted by Public Law 767, 83d Congress, the Federal law was applicable to employers of 8 or more workers on at least 1 day of each of 20 different weeks in a calendar year. Effective with respect to services performed after December 31, 1955, the Federal act is applicable to employers of 4 or more workers on at least 1 day of each of 20 weeks during the calendar year. All the States now cover firms employing 4 or more workers. Forty-nine do so by express definitions of "employer" in their laws, and the other two, Oklahoma and West Virginia, by the operation of a provision in their laws that all employing units which constitute "employers" under the Federal act are automatically considered employers by the State. (See table 3.)

The Federal and State definitions of "employment" exclude certain types of service from coverage. See pages 9-15. Since 1939 railroad workers have been excluded from coverage and covered by a special Federal unemployment insurance program administered by the Railroad Retirement Board.

Size of Firm

The coverage provisions of most State laws utilize definitions of "employing unit" and "employer." The employing unit is the more inclusive term: It is any individual or any one of specified

types of legal entity which had one or more individuals performing service for it within the State. All employing units are subject to the act with respect to the furnishing of required reports. An employer is an employing unit which meets other requirements and hence is subject to contributions and its workers accrue rights for benefits.

The size of firm covered is usually determined by the number of workers employed for a specified period of time. However, in 14 States the amount of wages paid is a factor; in 7 of these States, the only factor (table 1).

Originally, most State laws covered only those employers who, within a year, had 8 or more workers in each of 20 weeks. This was due largely to the coverage of the Federal Unemployment Tax Act. However, as the States gained experience in administering unemployment insurance and as a result of the 1954 amendments to the Federal Unemployment Tax Act, smaller firms have been brought under the acts in all States. Now 27 States cover workers in firms with 4 or more workers; 4 States, 3 or more workers; and 20 States, 1 or more workers, as shown State by State in table 1. Nineteen States require the specified number of workers for a period shorter than 20 weeks; 7 of these States cover services in firms employing one or more workers "at any time." Ohio requires three workers "at any time." Eight States do not specify any time but require a minimum payroll, such as Utah's \$140 in any quarter or Wyoming's \$500 in a calendar year.

Nine States have alternative provisions. Kentucky, Montana, and New Mexico merely provide an alternative measure for determining the minimum size of firm covered. In Minnesota the alternative is a requirement of four or more employees in 20 weeks in communities of less than 10,000 population, compared with one or more workers in 20 weeks in the 24 larger centers. The alternative provisions in Kansas (25 workers in 1 week), in Florida (4 workers in 8 weeks and more than \$6,000 in any quarter), in South Dakota (\$24,000 in the current or preceding year) and in Nebraska and Wisconsin (payroll of \$10,000 in any quarter with a further alternative of \$6,000 payroll in any year in Wisconsin) are designed to ensure coverage of employers who have extensive operations in the State for periods shorter than the specified 20 weeks.

Table 1.—Size of firms covered

State	Minimum number of workers ¹	Minimum period of time	Added conditions (payroll) (\$ States)	Alternative conditions (workers or payroll) (9 States)
Alabama.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Alaska.....	1	At any time.....		
Arizona.....	3	20 weeks.....		
Arkansas.....	1	10 days.....		
California.....	1	Not specified.....	Over \$100 in any quarter.	
Colorado.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Connecticut.....	3	13 weeks.....		
Delaware.....	1	20 weeks.....		
District of Columbia.....	1	At any time.....		
Florida.....	4	20 weeks.....		4 in 8 weeks and over \$8,000 in any quarter.
Georgia.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Hawaii.....	3 ¹	At any time.....		
Idaho.....	1	Not specified.....	\$150 in any quarter.....	
Illinois.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Indiana.....	4 ²	20 weeks.....		
Iowa.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Kansas.....	4	20 weeks.....		25 in 1 week.
Kentucky.....	4	20 weeks.....		4 in 3 quarters of preceding year and \$50 per quarter for each worker.
Louisiana.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Maine.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Maryland.....	1	At any time.....		
Massachusetts.....	1	13 weeks.....		
Michigan.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Minnesota.....	4 ¹	20 weeks.....		(4).
Mississippi.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Missouri.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Montana.....	1	20 weeks.....		Over \$500 in a calendar year.
Nebraska.....	4	20 weeks.....		\$10,000 in any quarter.
Nevada.....	1	Not specified.....	\$225 in any quarter.....	
New Hampshire.....	4	20 weeks.....		
New Jersey.....	4	20 weeks.....		
New Mexico.....	1	Not specified.....	\$450 in any quarter.....	2 or more in 13 weeks.
New York.....	1	Not specified.....	\$300 in any quarter.....	
North Carolina.....	4 ²	20 weeks.....		
North Dakota.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Ohio.....	3	At any time.....		
Oklahoma.....	4 ²	20 weeks.....		
Oregon.....	1	Not specified.....	\$225 in any quarter.....	
Pennsylvania.....	1	At any time.....		
Rhode Island.....	1	At any time.....		
South Carolina.....	4	20 weeks.....		
South Dakota.....	4	20 weeks.....		\$24,000 in current or preceding year. ³
Tennessee.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Texas.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Utah.....	1	Not specified.....	\$140 in any quarter.....	
Vermont.....	3	20 weeks.....		
Virginia.....	4	20 weeks.....		
Washington.....	1	At any time.....		
West Virginia.....	4 ²	20 weeks.....		
Wisconsin.....	4	20 weeks.....		\$8,000 in any year or \$10,000 in any quarter. ⁴
Wyoming.....	1	Not specified.....	\$500 in any year.....	

¹ Effective in States noted, by operation of provision in State law that employers subject to the Federal Unemployment Tax Act are subject to the State employment security law.

² Also covers employers of 20 or more agricultural workers in 20 weeks.

³ Workers whose services are covered by another State through election under a reciprocal-coverage agreement are included for purposes of determining employer liability.

⁴ Employers of fewer than 4 outside the corporate limits of a city, village, or borough of 10,000 population or more are not liable for contributions unless they are subject to the Federal Unemployment Tax Act; 24 communities had a population of 10,000 or more in 1959.

⁵ Not counting more than \$3,000 wages per employee in applying the test of \$24,000 in year.

⁶ Not counting more than \$1,000 wages per employee in applying the test of \$10,000 per quarter.

The minimum size-of-firm provisions in the 51 States may be summarized as follows:

Specified minimum period of time	Total number of States	Number of States with specified minimum number of workers		
		1	3	4
Total.....	51	20	4	27
Not specified.....	8	8	—	—
Any time.....	8	7	1	—
10 days.....	1	1	—	—
13 weeks.....	2	1	1	—
20 weeks.....	32	3	2	27

¹ In 2 States, by operation of provision in State law that employers subject to the Federal Unemployment Tax Act are subject to the State employment security law.

Coverage of affiliated units or establishments.—In States which limit coverage by size of firm certain special provisions, included in the definition of employing unit, prevent splitting an employing unit into two or more entities to avoid coverage or to reduce tax liabilities. In 30 States coverage of some small units is effected through provisions under which individuals performing service for an employing unit that maintains two or more separate establishments within the State are deemed to be performing service for a single employing unit. Under 13 State laws each employing unit is considered an employer subject to contributions if the total number of employees of all firms under common ownership and control equals or exceeds the minimum number specified in the State law.

Table 2.—Extension of coverage to affiliated units or establishments, 33 States¹ with numerical limitation on coverage

State	Multiple unit provision (30 States)	Common ownership provision (13 States)	Contractor-tackling provision (12 States)	State	Multiple unit provision (30 States)	Common ownership provision (13 States)	Contractor-tackling provision (12 States)
Alabama.....	X	—	—	Nebraska.....	X	—	X
Arizona.....	X	X	—	New Hampshire.....	X	—	X
Colorado.....	X	—	—	New Jersey.....	X	X	X
Connecticut.....	—	X	X	New Mexico.....	X	X	X
Florida.....	X	—	—	North Carolina.....	X	—	—
Georgia.....	X	X	—	North Dakota.....	X	X	X
Illinois.....	X	—	—	Ohio.....	X	—	—
Indiana.....	X	—	—	Oklahoma.....	X	X	X
Iowa.....	X	X	X	South Carolina.....	X	—	—
Kansas.....	X	—	—	South Dakota.....	X	—	—
Kentucky.....	X	—	—	Tennessee.....	X	—	—
Louisiana.....	X	—	X	Texas.....	X	—	—
Maine.....	X	X	X	Vermont.....	X	X	—
Michigan.....	X	—	—	Virginia.....	X	X	X
Minnesota.....	X	X	—	West Virginia.....	—	—	—
Mississippi.....	X	X	—	Wisconsin.....	—	—	X
Missouri.....	X	—	—				

¹ Including Minnesota and New Mexico with limitation in alternative provision.

Coverage of other small units is effected by provisions in 12 State laws that an employing unit is deemed to employ individuals engaged in work for it (which is part of its usual business) through a contractor or subcontractor unless both the employing unit and the contractor or subcontractor are separately subject to the law. Of the States with a numerical limitation on coverage, all but one have some such provision, as shown in table 2.

Coverage by reason of Federal coverage.—The limitation of coverage to four or more workers for a minimum period in one State would, standing alone, exclude some workers employed by a multi-State employer who is subject to the Federal Unemployment Tax Act because in the country as a whole he has the required number of workers. Such workers would not accrue benefit rights, and the employer would be liable for the full Federal tax. Most State laws which exclude the smallest firms have a provision that any employing unit which is subject to the Federal unemployment tax is subject to the State tax for workers within the State (see table 3). In most States, this provision permits immediate coverage of smaller firms if coverage under the Federal act is further extended.

Voluntary coverage of small firms.—All States which limit coverage in terms of size of firm provide that employing units with fewer than the required number of workers may elect to have them covered under the State law. In the few States without the provision for automatic coverage of employers subject to the Federal act, employing units subject to the Federal, but not to the State, law may elect coverage for workers who would have no benefit rights in spite of the Federal taxes paid by such employing units on their services.

Employer-Employee Relationship

The relationship of a worker to the person for whom he performs services also influences whether his employer must count him in determining liability under the law. In Alabama, the statute defines "employee" in terms of a master and servant relationship but most State laws do not define or use the word "employee." The common-law master-servant relationship is the principal consideration in the determination of coverage in six other States: In Arkansas, Idaho, Minnesota, and Mississippi the master-servant concept is only part of the statutory definition of employee status; in the District of Columbia the ordinary rules relating to master and servant apply by regulation; and in Kentucky the "legal relationship of employer and employee" was declared synonymous with the "legal concept of master and servant" in a 1939 court decision. California and New York have a general definition of employment in terms of services performed under "any contract of hire, written

Table 3.—State coverage resulting from coverage under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act

State	Employer includes any employing unit subject to Federal unemployment tax	Employment includes any service covered by Federal unemployment tax	Wages includes remuneration over \$3,000 if subject to Federal unemployment tax ¹	State	Employer includes any employing unit subject to Federal unemployment tax	Employment includes any service covered by Federal unemployment tax	Wages includes remuneration over \$3,000 if subject to Federal unemployment tax ¹
Alabama.....	X	X		Montana.....	(2)		
Alaska.....	(2)	X		Nebraska.....	X		X
Arizona.....	X			Nevada.....	X	(2)	X
Arkansas.....	(2)	X	X	New Hampshire.....	X	X	X
California.....	(2)	X	X	New Jersey.....			
Colorado.....				New Mexico.....	(2)		
Connecticut.....	X			New York.....	(2)		
Delaware.....	X	X		North Carolina.....	X	X	
Dist. of Columbia.....	(2)	X	X	North Dakota.....	X		X
Florida.....	X	X	X	Ohio.....			
Georgia.....	X	X	X	Oklahoma.....	X		X
Hawaii.....	(2)	X		Oregon.....	(2)	X	
Idaho.....	(2)	X	X	Pennsylvania.....	(2)		X
Illinois.....	X		X	Rhode Island.....	(2)		X
Indiana.....	X	X	X	South Carolina.....			
Iowa.....	X			South Dakota.....	X	X	X
Kansas.....	X			Tennessee.....	X	X	X
Kentucky.....	X		X	Texas.....	X		
Louisiana.....	X	X		Utah.....	X	X	X
Maine.....	X	X	X	Vermont.....	X	X	X
Maryland.....	X		X	Virginia.....	X		
Massachusetts.....	X	(2)		Washington.....	X	X	
Michigan.....	X	X		West Virginia.....	X	X	X
Minnesota.....	X	X	X	Wisconsin.....	X	X	X
Mississippi.....			X	Wyoming.....	(2)		
Missouri.....	X	X	X				

¹ See p. 5.

² No such provision; none needed since State law covers employers of 1 or more workers at any time.

³ No such provision; since State law covers 1 or more workers for short period or with small payroll requirement, provision would have little effect. See table 1.

⁴ Applies to certain specified services only, now excluded under Federal Unemployment Tax Act (California).

⁵ Remuneration for services performed in the State and subject to Federal Unemployment Tax Act defined as wages for employment (Georgia).

⁶ Provision has little if any effect since State law covers employers of 1 or more workers at any time or with small payroll requirements. See table 1.

⁷ Up to \$3,000 (Maryland).

⁸ Not applicable to classes of employers whose inclusion would adversely affect efficient administration or repair fund (Massachusetts).

⁹ Limited to insurance agents and insurance solicitors (Massachusetts); to nonprofit organizations (Nevada).

¹⁰ Not applicable to agricultural labor and domestic service (West Virginia).

or oral, express or implied"; Connecticut and North Carolina, with similar provisions, limit the contract of hire to one creating the legal relationship of employer-employee.

Most of the laws have a broader concept of what constitutes an employer-employee relationship. They have incorporated strict tests of what constitutes such absence of control by an employer over a worker that he would be classed as an independent contractor rather than an employee. In a few States the effect of these tests has been negated by court decisions holding that if the employer-employee or master-servant relationship is not established, the tests need not be applied. Twenty-six States provide that service for remuneration is considered employment unless it meets each of three tests:

Table 4.—Coverage as determined by employer-employee relationship

State	Services considered "employment" unless—			Other provisions (15 States)
	Workers are free from control over performance (39 States)	Service is outside regular course or places of employer's business (30 States)	Worker is customarily in an independent business (36 States)	
Alabama.....				Master-servant.
Alaska.....	X	and X	and X	
Arizona.....				Service of employee. ¹
Arkansas.....	X	or X	or X	Master-servant.
California.....				Contract of hire. ²
Colorado.....				Service of employee. ¹
Connecticut.....				Contract of hire creating employee relationship.
Delaware.....	X	and X	and X	
District of Columbia.....				Contract of hire and master-servant. ^{1,3}
Florida.....				Service of employee. ¹
Georgia.....	X	and X	and X	
Hawaii.....	X	and X	and X	
Idaho.....				Master-servant.
Illinois.....	X	and X	and X	
Indiana.....	X		and X	
Iowa.....	X		and X	
Kansas.....	X	and X		
Kentucky.....				Contract of hire and master-servant. ^{1,4}
Louisiana.....	X	and X	and X	
Maine.....	X	and X	and X	
Maryland.....	X	and X	and X	
Massachusetts.....	X			
Michigan.....	X		¹ and X	Contract of hire and in fact.
Minnesota.....			X	Master-servant.
Mississippi.....	X			Master-servant.
Missouri.....	X	and X	and X	
Montana.....	X	and X	and X	
Nebraska.....	X	and X	and X	
Nevada.....	X	and X	and X	
New Hampshire.....	X	and X	and X	
New Jersey.....	X	and X	and X	
New Mexico.....	X	and X	and X	
New York.....				Contract of hire. ¹
North Carolina.....				Contract of hire creating employee relationship.
North Dakota.....	X	and X	and X	
Ohio.....	X	and X	and X	
Oklahoma.....	X	and X	and X	
Oregon.....	X		and X	
Pennsylvania.....	X		and X	
Rhode Island.....	X	and X	and X	
South Carolina.....	X	and X	and X	
South Dakota.....	X	or X	or X	
Tennessee.....	X	and X	and X	
Texas.....	X			
Utah.....	X	and X	and X	
Vermont.....	X	and X	or X	
Virginia.....	X	and X	and X	
Washington.....	X	and X	and X	
West Virginia.....	X	and X	and X	
Wisconsin.....	X		and X	
Wyoming.....	X	and X	and X	

¹ Service performed by an employee for the person or employing unit employing him.² Service under any contract of hire, written or oral, express or implied.³ By regulation.⁴ By court decision (*Barnes v. Indian Refining Company*, June 23, 1939).

(A) the worker is free from control or direction in the performance of his work under his contract of service and in fact; (B) the service is performed either outside the usual course of the business for which it is performed or is performed outside of all places of business of the enterprise for which it is performed; and (C) the

individual is customarily engaged in an independent trade, occupation, profession, or business. Four States require the first test only: 2 States, the third; 2 States, any 1 of them; 7 States, the first and 1 other (table 4).

Related to these provisions concerning contractual relations are specific exclusions of newsboys in all but nine States¹ and of insurance agents on commission (41 States), real estate agents on commission (23 States), and casual labor not in the course of the employer's business (31 States) (table 5). A few States exclude also securities salesmen and investment brokers.

Location of Employment

With 51 jurisdictions operating separate unemployment insurance laws, it is essential to have a basis for coverage which will keep individuals who work in more than one State from falling between two or more State laws and will also prevent the requirement of duplicate contributions on the wages of a single individual. Therefore, the States have adopted a uniform definition of employment in terms of localization of work. This definition provides for coverage of the entire services of a multi-State worker in one State only, the State in which he will most likely look for a job when he becomes unemployed. Under this definition of the localization of employment, a traveling salesman living in Michigan and working for a firm with headquarters in New York would be considered to have his services localized in Michigan and covered there, if all his work was there or if most of it was there and his work outside the State was incidental and temporary. If his services cannot be considered to be localized in any one State, the entire service can still be covered in one State—in New York from which his services are directed if he does some work there or in Michigan where he lives if he does some work there and travels in other nearby States.

Election of coverage of services performed outside the State.—The laws of 36 States² permit employers to elect coverage of workers who perform their services entirely outside the State if they are not covered by any other State or Federal unemployment insurance law. This provision would make it possible for a Connecticut employer, for example, to cover in Connecticut two employees all of whose services are performed in New Hampshire and who are not covered by the New Hampshire law because of the "four or more" limit. Of the States permitting such elections, residence is required in the State of election in all but Connecticut,

¹ Delaware, Iowa, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, and West Virginia.

² All except Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Utah, and Vermont.

Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Nebraska, Oregon, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin.

Election of coverage through reciprocal coverage arrangements.—To provide continuity of coverage for individuals working successively in different States for the same employer, most States have adopted legislation which enables them to enter into reciprocal arrangements with other States, under which such services are covered in a single State by election of the employer. The arrangements permit an employer to cover all the services of such a worker in any State in which any part of his service is performed or he has his residence or the employer maintains a place of business. Forty-six^a States are participating under such arrangements.

Services covered under the terms of reciprocal arrangements are typically those performed by individuals who contract by the job and whose various jobs are in different States. An engineer who works for an Illinois firm on a construction job in Minnesota which lasts for 6 months and who then goes to Texas on a job for 9 months might be covered by both the Minnesota and Texas laws, respectively, for the services performed in each. Under the reciprocal arrangement, the Illinois employer could elect to have all services performed by this engineer covered by the Illinois law.

All the States have provisions for the election of coverage of services outside the State not covered elsewhere or of services allocated to the State under a reciprocal agreement.

Employments Specifically Excluded

Employment covered by the State laws is defined mainly in terms of services excluded from coverage. The employments which are specifically excluded from coverage follow closely the exclusions under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act and are therefore relatively uniform.

This section presents a brief discussion of each of the exclusions which occur in all or nearly all the State laws, followed by a tabulation of the other more frequent exclusions (table 5). A great many miscellaneous exclusions which occur in only a few States and affect relatively small groups have been omitted.

Agricultural labor.—Only the District of Columbia—which is primarily an urban community—has no exclusion of agricultural labor and even specifies, by regulation, that employers engaged in the operation of agricultural establishments, farms, nurseries, and dairies are included within the act. Hawaii has a separate law, known as the Hawaii Agricultural Unemployment Compensation Law, which covers some agricultural workers. Under the provisions of this law an "agricultural employer" is one who employs in agri-

^a All except Alaska, Kentucky, Mississippi, New Jersey, and New York.

Table 5.—Significant miscellaneous employment exclusions¹

State	Agents on Commission		Casual labor not in course of employer's business (31 States)	Service for nonprofit organization exempt from Federal income tax		Student nurses and internes (28 States)	Other students working part-time for private schools ² (25 States)	Domestic service in a college club or fraternity (40 States)
	Insurance (41 States)	Real estate (23 States)		Agricultural society (27 States)	Part-time service ³ (32 States)			
Alabama.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Alaska.....	X	X	X	X	X			
Arizona.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Arkansas.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
California.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Colorado.....	X	X	X			X	X	X
Connecticut.....	X	X	X	X				
Delaware.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Dist. of Columbia.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Florida.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Georgia.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Hawaii.....	X			X	X	X		
Idaho.....	X				X	X		
Illinois.....	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
Indiana.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Iowa.....					X			X
Kansas.....	X	X	X			X		
Kentucky.....	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
Louisiana.....	X			X	X	X	X	X
Maine.....								
Maryland.....	X	(?)	X	X	X	X	X	X
Massachusetts.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Michigan.....	X	(?)	X	X	X	X	X	X
Minnesota.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Mississippi.....	X	X				X	X	X
Missouri.....	X	X			(?)			X
Montana.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Nebraska.....	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Nevada.....					X			X
New Hampshire.....	X		X					
New Jersey.....	X	X				X		X
New Mexico.....	X							
New York.....			X					X
North Carolina.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
North Dakota.....	X		X		X			X
Ohio.....			X		X	X		X
Oklahoma.....	X	X	X			X		X
Oregon.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Pennsylvania.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Rhode Island.....			X		X			
South Carolina.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
South Dakota.....	X			X	X			
Tennessee.....	X	X			X	X	X	X
Texas.....	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Utah.....	X	X	X	X	X			
Vermont.....			X	X	X	X	X	X
Virginia.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Washington.....	X	X	X		X			
West Virginia.....				X	X			X
Wisconsin.....								
Wyoming.....								

¹ For the major employment exclusions, see text, pp. 9-15.² If the remuneration does not exceed \$45 per calendar quarter (or is less than \$50, in accordance with 1950 amendment to Federal Unemployment Tax Act); or service is for a fraternal beneficiary society and is ritualistic in character or in connection with collection of dues performed away from its home office; or, except for Illinois, Utah, and Wisconsin, service is performed by a student.³ Service in employ of private school, college, or university not exempt from the Federal income tax under sec. 501 by a student, if remuneration does not exceed \$45 per calendar quarter (exclusive of board and room and tuition) or, in accordance with 1950 amendment to Federal Unemployment Tax Act, regardless of the amount of remuneration.⁴ Excludes any service not included as employment under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act.⁵ Does not specify that organization be exempt from Federal income tax.⁶ By court decision or attorney general's opinion.⁷ Applicable only while exempt from Federal Unemployment Tax Act.⁸ See text, page 66 for details.⁹ Does not exclude such service if performed for a corporation.

cultural service 20 or more workers for some portion of a day in each of 24 days in each of 4 consecutive calendar quarters. However, for benefit years beginning June 26, 1960, all agricultural workers will be covered under the Employment Security Law, provided they work for an employing unit which has 20 or more persons performing agricultural labor in each of 20 weeks in the current or preceding calendar year.

Puerto Rico, while not yet officially a "State," also has in operation a program which covers agricultural workers in the sugar industry. Of the other 49 laws, 37 specify the agricultural services excluded. Most of these laws include substantially the same exclusions as those in the Federal Unemployment Tax Act, as amended in 1939.

Prior to these amendments, "agricultural labor" was defined for purposes of the Federal law by administrative regulation of the Bureau of Internal Revenue. Services on a farm in the raising and harvesting of any agricultural product were excluded, as were services in some processing and marketing activities when performed for the farmer who raised the crop and as an incident to primary farming operations. Most of the States similarly defined agricultural labor by regulation or interpretation.

The definition of agricultural labor added to the Federal Unemployment Tax Act in 1939 broadened the exclusion; some processing and marketing activities are excluded whether or not they are performed in the employ of the farmer. Also excluded are services in the management and operation of a farm, if they are performed for the farm owner or operator.

Eleven States exclude "agricultural labor" without a statutory definition; five⁴ of them have not adopted a general definition but make individual decisions on coverage. The other six⁵ have retained definitions in regulations or general interpretations which are more restrictive than the Federal definition.

In addition to the general agricultural exclusion, 27 States exclude employees of agricultural or horticultural organizations exempt from Federal income tax (table 5).

Domestic service in private homes.—Only New York covers domestic servants in private homes, and there these workers are covered only in households which employ four or more such workers at any time. Such services in local college clubs and fraternity and sorority chapters are also excluded in 40 States, as detailed in table 5.

Service for relatives.—All States exclude service for a child or spouse and service by a child under 21 for a parent. Such service

⁴ Kentucky, Nevada, Texas, Vermont, and West Virginia.

⁵ Connecticut, Kansas, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Rhode Island, and Tennessee.

is often informal in the arrangements concerning hours and remuneration, and the determination of the existence of unemployment might be difficult.

Nonprofit organizations.—All States except Alaska, Colorado, and Hawaii exempt service in the employ of a corporation, community chest, fund, or foundation organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, educational, or similar purposes, if no part of the net earnings inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual. However, in both Alaska and Hawaii services performed in the above activities are exempt if the remuneration for such services is less than \$50 in any calendar quarter. Alaska and Hawaii also exempt services performed by a minister or by a member of a religious order, but Hawaii applies the exemption only to the religious (and not to the secular) duties performed by members of such orders. Alaska, in addition, excludes services of nurses, technicians, and professional employees of nonprofit hospitals.

Thirty-two States including Alaska and Hawaii exempt part-time service for other nonprofit organizations exempt from Federal income tax if the remuneration per quarter does not exceed \$45 (or, in accordance with the 1950 amendment to the Federal Unemployment Tax Act, is less than \$50) or the service is in the collection of dues or is ritualistic service for a fraternal beneficiary society (table 5). Montana excludes from "wages" remuneration paid by a fraternal benefit society having a total annual payroll of less than \$500 in a calendar year. Montana, in addition, excludes all part-time employees in the employ of collateral businesses of churches, charities, benevolent, fraternal, nonprofit societies and like associations if the remuneration received does not constitute the major portion of the employee's income.

Related also are the exclusions of the service of students for any educational institution exempt from Federal income tax (28 States), of student nurses in hospitals or training schools and internes (28 States), and service for educational institutions not exempt from Federal income tax when wages are less than \$45 per quarter (25 States) (table 5).

Service for State and local governments.—Since, under the Constitution, the Federal Government cannot tax State and local governments or their instrumentalities, the Federal act excludes them from coverage.

Thirty States provide some form of coverage for some of their own or local government workers (table 6). Wisconsin has long included the State and its first-class cities in its definition of "employer"; any other political subdivision may elect to cover one or more of its operating units. However, Wisconsin excludes from "employment" the services of elected or appointed public officers,

Table 6.—Coverage of service for State and local governments¹

State	Mandatory		Elective		Benefits financed by	
	State	Local	State	Local	Contributions	Reimbursement
Alaska.....			X	X	X	
Arizona.....			X	X	X	
California.....	(?)	(?)	X	X	(?)	(?)
Connecticut.....	X			X		
District of Columbia.....			X			X
Florida.....			X	X	X	
Hawaii.....	X	X				X
Idaho.....	X	(?)		X		X
Indiana.....		(?)			X	
Kentucky.....			X	X	X	
Louisiana.....	(?)		X	X	X	
Maryland.....			X	X	X	
Massachusetts.....			(?)			X
Michigan.....	X			X		X
Minnesota.....	X			X		X
Missouri.....			X ²	X ²	X	
Nevada.....				X	X	
New Hampshire.....	X			X		X
New York.....	X	(?)		X		X
North Dakota.....			X ²	X ²	X	
Oregon.....	X			X		X
Pennsylvania.....				(?)	X	
Rhode Island.....	X			X		X
Tennessee.....			X	X	X	
Texas.....			X ²	X ²	X	
Utah.....			X ²	X ²	X	
Vermont.....				X		X
Washington.....	(?)		X	X	X	
Wisconsin.....	X	(?)		X		X
Wyoming.....			X ²	X	X	

¹ Including instrumentalities thereof.² Applicable only to service for public housing authorities and to services performed by blind and physically handicapped workers in noncivil service positions (California); irrigation and soil conservation districts (Idaho); municipally owned public utilities (Indiana); liquidation or receivership under a State agency (Louisiana); custodial service for boards of education of cities of 500,000 or more (New York); municipal authorities, school cafeterias and volunteer fire companies (Pennsylvania); public utility districts and public power authorities (Washington); and first-class cities (Wisconsin).³ Contributions for State; reimbursement for local.⁴ No election reported.⁵ Applicable only to instrumentalities specifically authorized by legislation.⁶ By interpretation.

consultants, teachers, and others in a regular annual school year position, and employment on work-relief projects and temporary jobs at the State fair, or in such emergency jobs as firefighting, flood control, and snow removal. Many of these 30 States provide for similar exclusions and do not permit their coverage by election. Connecticut, Idaho, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New York, Oregon, and Rhode Island also provide mandatory coverage for their State employees, and permit election of coverage by municipal corporations or other local government subdivisions. Hawaii provides mandatory coverage for both State and local government employees. California limits its mandatory coverage to service for public housing administration agencies operated by State or local government units and to service performed by blind and physically handicapped workers in noncivil service positions; Indiana, to municipally owned public utilities; Louisiana, to liquidation or receivership entities; and Washington, to employees of public utility

districts and public power authorities. Three States, in addition to covering their own government workers, also provide mandatory coverage for special groups—Idaho covers employees of irrigation and soil conservation districts, New York covers custodial employees of boards of education in its cities of 500,000 or more population, and Oregon covers its people's utility districts which are agencies of the State.

Fifteen States permit election of coverage by governmental units at both the State and local levels. The District of Columbia has elected coverage for all of its employees. Massachusetts, by legislative action, authorizes named instrumentalities of the State to elect coverage, while Vermont excludes its State employees but permits its towns, cities, municipal corporations, or their instrumentalities to elect coverage. Pennsylvania permits elective coverage of services performed for municipal authorities, school cafeterias and volunteer fire companies.

Altogether a total of 27 States have, by legislation or interpretation, authorized coverage for some employees of the State, 10 by mandate and the other 17 by election; while cities, towns, and other political subdivisions in 26 of these States may elect to cover their employees.

While all the States finance the payment of unemployment benefits by means of contributions from covered employers, there is a variation in this pattern when the "employer" is the State government itself or any of its units. Sixteen⁶ States conform to the standard procedure and require contributions in the regular manner, but the other 11⁷ have adopted the system of being billed, usually at quarterly intervals, for the amount of benefits charged to their respective accounts, and then repaying such amount into the State unemployment compensation fund. California requires contributions from itself, but permits reimbursement by the local units. (See table 6.)

Maritime workers.—The Federal Unemployment Tax Act and most State laws initially excluded maritime workers, principally because it was thought that the Constitution prevented the States from covering such workers. Supreme Court decisions in *Standard Dredging Corporation v. Murphy* and *International Elevating Company v. Murphy*, 319 U.S. 306 (1943) were interpreted to the effect that there is no such bar. In 1946 the Federal Unemployment Tax Act was amended to permit any State from which the operations of an American vessel operating on navigable waters within or within and without the United States are ordinarily and regularly

⁶ Alaska, Arizona, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Washington, and Wyoming.

⁷ Connecticut, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island, Utah, and Wisconsin.

supervised, managed, directed and controlled, to require contributions to its unemployment fund under its State unemployment compensation law.

Some States whose laws did not specifically exclude maritime workers automatically covered such workers after 1943. In others, coverage was automatic after 1946 because of provisions that State coverage would follow any extension of Federal coverage. Many other States took legislative action to limit the exclusion of maritime service to service performed on non-American vessels. At present most laws provide for coverage of maritime workers. In the only coastal States without such statutory coverage, maritime workers are covered indirectly. Rhode Island has entered into reciprocal arrangements covering such workers, and in Maryland, Mississippi, and South Carolina, maritime employers have elected coverage. In Arizona, Montana, Nevada, North Dakota, and South Dakota the exclusion of maritime workers has little meaning.

Coverage of service by reason of Federal coverage.—Thirty-one States have a provision that any service covered by the Federal Unemployment Tax Act is employment under the State law (table 3). A few of these States limit the provision to a particular type of employment as indicated in the footnotes to the table.

This provision would permit immediate coverage of workers in such excluded services as employees of nonprofit organizations if the Federal Act were amended to include them.

Voluntary coverage of excluded employments.—In all States except Alabama, Massachusetts, and New York employers with the approval of the State agency may elect coverage of services excluded from the definition of employment under their laws. In North Dakota the rate of contributions for employment covered by an election is 7.0 percent, unless the employer qualifies for a rate less than the standard rate.

Self-employment.—Employment, for purposes of unemployment insurance coverage, is employment of workers who work for others for wages; it does not include self-employment. Although the protection of the Federal old-age and survivors insurance program has been extended to most of the self-employed, protection under the unemployment insurance program is not feasible, largely because of the difficulty of determining whether in a given week a self-employed worker is unemployed. One small exception has been incorporated in the California law. A subject employer may apply for coverage of his own services; if his election is approved, his wages for purposes of contributions and benefits are deemed to be \$250 a month.